

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/801,208	03/16/2004	Kwang-hee Lee	5649-1277 2034			
20792 7590 03/17/2006			EXAMINER			
MYERS BIG PO BOX 3742	EL SIBLEY & SAJOVE	TRAN, THANH Y				
RALEIGH, NC 27627			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2822			
			DATE MAILED: 03/17/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
		10/801,2	08	LEE ET AL.		(mg)		
		Examine	r	Art Unit			-	
		Thanh Y.	Tran	2822		Ţ		
Perio	The MAILING DATE of this communication app d for Reply	pears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress -	•		
	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL HICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF TH 136(a). In no ev will apply and we, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be tin ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from dication to become ABANDONE	N. the mailing date of this (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Statu	s	•				•		
11	\boxtimes Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 J</u>	lanuary 200)6					
2a)		s action is r						
	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa			secution as to th	ne merits	sis		
0,	closed in accordance with the practice under the	- · ·						
					•			
Dispo	sition of Claims							
4)	$oxed{\boxtimes}$ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-26</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•			•		
6)	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
7)								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election r	equirement.					
Appli	cation Papers					•		
9	☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	• •					
10	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b)	objected to by the I	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) l	oe held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc				CFR 1.12	1(d).		
11	☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. N	ote the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152			
Priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 	n priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
		to have hee	n received					
			•	on No				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		• •					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	•		ed in this Nationa	ıı Stage			
	application from the International Burea	•	• • •					
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the cert	itied copies not receive	d.				
	<u>-</u>			-				
	•							
Attach	ment(s)	•						
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary					
	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		(O-452)			
	nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/16/04 & 1/13/05</u> .)	6) Other:	atent Application (PT	J-132)			
							_	

Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election of Invention I, Species I (claims 1-14) in the reply filed on 1/05/06 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 9-12, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kweon et al (U.S. 2002/0001860) in view of Molla et al (U.S. 2004/0175845).

As to claim 1, Kweon et al discloses in figures 2E-2F a method of fabricating an electrode for a microelectronic device, the method comprising: forming a seed layer (26) using atomic layer deposition on a semiconductor substrate (20) (see paragraph [0018]); forming a main ruthenium layer (element 28 is made by ruthenium (Ru)) on the seed layer (26); and patterning the main ruthenium layer (28) and the seed layer (26) to form the electrode.

Kweon et al does not disclose the seed layer is made by ruthenium material.

Molla et al discloses in figure 3 a method wherein the seed layer (20) is made by ruthenium (Ru) material (see paragraph [0011]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Kweon et al by using a ruthenium material for the seed layer as taught by Molla et al for

Art Unit: 2822

enabling any flux concentrating layer to be electrolessly plated within the opening (trench) of the device (see paragraph [0011] in Molla et al).

As to claim 2, Kweon et al discloses in figures 2E-2F a method further comprising: forming a dielectric layer ("ferroelectric layer" 29) on the electrode (28); and forming an upper electrode (30) on the dielectric layer (29) to provide a capacitor.

As to claim 3, Kweon et al discloses in figures 2E-2F a method further comprising: forming a storage node contact plug (comprising elements 23, 24 and 25) on the semiconductor substrate (20) and a storage node (21) that is electrically connected to the storage node contact plug to provide a semiconductor memory device, wherein the seed layer (26) is formed on the storage node contact plug (23, 24, 25).

Kweon et al does not disclose the seed layer is made by ruthenium material.

Molla et al discloses in figure 3 a method wherein the seed layer (20) is made by ruthenium (Ru) material (see paragraph [0011]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Kweon et al by using a ruthenium material for the seed layer as taught by Molla et al for enabling any flux concentrating layer to be electrolessly plated within the opening (trench) of the device (see paragraph [0011] in Molla et al).

As to claim 9, Kweon et al discloses in figures 2E-2F a method wherein the seed layer (26) is formed to a thickness of about 5 A to 50 A ("50 A to 500 A", the range of "5 A to 50 A" falls in the range of "50 A to 500 A" of Kweon, see paragraph [0018]).

Kweon et al does not disclose the seed layer is made by ruthenium material.

Art Unit: 2822

Molla et al discloses in figure 3 a method wherein the seed layer (20) is made by ruthenium (Ru) material (see paragraph [0011]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Kweon et al by using a ruthenium material for the seed layer as taught by Molla et al for enabling any flux concentrating layer to be electrolessly plated within the opening (trench) of the device (see paragraph [0011] in Molla et al).

Kweon et al further does not disclose the main ruthenium layer is formed to a thickness of 50 A to 300 A. However, the thickness range for a layer would have been obvious to an ordinary artisan practicing the invention because, absent evidence of disclosure of criticality for the range giving unexpected results, it is not inventive to discover optimal or workable ranges by routine experimentation. In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

Furthermore, the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed dimensions of any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is aid to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. See In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

As to claim 10, Kweon et al in view of Molla et al does not disclose supplying oxygen at a flow rate of about 1 sccm to 50 sccm for forming of the main ruthenium layer; and supplying a ruthenium source at a flow rate of about 0.1 ccm to 2 ccm under a pressure of about 0.4 Torr to 0.6 Torr. However, a flow rate of supplying oxygen of about 1 sccm to 50 sccm, or a flow rate of a ruthenium source about 0.1 ccm to 2 ccm under a pressure of about 0.4 Torr to 0.6 Torr would have been obvious to an ordinary artisan practicing the invention because, absent evidence

Art Unit: 2822

of disclosure of criticality for the range giving unexpected results, it is not inventive to discover optimal or workable ranges by routine experimentation. In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). Furthermore, the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed dimensions of any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is aid to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. See In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

As to claim 11, Kweon et al in view of Molla et al does not disclose the dielectric layer comprises a tantalum oxide layer. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Kweon et al by using a tantalum oxide material for a dielectric layer for providing a suitable high-k material for the dielectric layer, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended used as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

As to claim 12, the combined teaching references of Kweon et al and Molla et al disclose the claimed invention (forming a first ruthenium seed layer using atomic layer deposition on the dielectric layer; and forming a first main ruthenium layer on the first ruthenium seed layer) except for: forming a second ruthenium seed layer using atomic layer deposition on the dielectric layer; and forming a second main ruthenium layer on the second ruthenium seed layer. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Kweon et al in view of Molla et al by forming another ruthenium seed layer (second ruthenium seed layer) on another dielectric layer using the same deposition (atomic

Art Unit: 2822

layer deposition), and forming another main ruthenium layer (second main ruthenium layer) on another ruthenium seed layer (second ruthenium seed layer), since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

As to claim 14, Kweon et al in view of Molla et al does not disclose the ruthenium seed layer has an oxygen concentration of less than 5%. However, a ruthenium seed layer has an oxygen concentration of less than 5% (for forming an electroless depositing layer (ruthenium seed layer)) would have been obvious to an ordinary artisan practicing the invention because, absent evidence of disclosure of criticality for the range giving unexpected results, it is not inventive to discover optimal or workable ranges by routine experimentation. In re Aller, 220 F.2d 454, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). Furthermore, the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claimed dimensions of any unexpected results arising therefrom. Where patentability is aid to be based upon particular chosen dimensions or upon another variable recited in a claim, the Applicant must show that the chosen dimensions are critical. See In re Woodruff, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

3. Claims 4-6, and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kweon et al (U.S. 2002/0001860) in view of Molla et al (U.S. 2004/0175845) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Aaltonen et al (U.S. 2005/0020060).

As to claims 4-6, Kweon et al in view of Molla et al does not disclose the steps of forming the ruthenium seed layer using atomic layer deposition comprises: injecting a ruthenium source into a chamber containing the semiconductor substrate; then injecting an O sub.2-

Art Unit: 2822

containing gas into the chamber containing the semiconductor substrate; and then injecting an H.sub.2-containing gas into the chamber containing the semiconductor substrate; purging the chamber following the injection of the ruthenium source, the injection of the O.sub.2-containing gas, and the injection of the H.sub.2-containing gas; and wherein the O.sub.2-containing gas comprises an O.sub.2 gas.

Aaltonen et al discloses the steps of forming the ruthenium seed layer ("ruthenium") using atomic layer deposition ("ALD") (see paragraph [0016]) comprises: injecting a ruthenium source ("ruthenium") into a chamber containing the semiconductor substrate (see paragraphs [0016]-[0018]); then injecting an O.sub.2-containing gas ("oxygen" gas or "H.sub.2.O.sub.2" gas that contains O₂ gas) into the chamber containing the semiconductor substrate (see paragraph [0056] & [0039]); and then injecting an H.sub.2-containing gas ("H.sub.2.O.sub.2" gas that contains H₂ gas) into the chamber containing the semiconductor substrate (see paragraph [0056]); and purging the chamber following the injection of the ruthenium source, the injection of the O.sub.2-containing gas ("oxygen containing gas", or "H.sub.2.O.sub.2" gas that contains O₂ gas), and the injection of the H.sub.2-containing gas (H.sub.2.O.sub.2" gas that contains H₂ gas). (see paragraphs [0040]-[0041], [0056], [0076], [0079], and [0102]); and wherein the O.sub.2containing gas comprises an O.sub.2 gas ("H.sub.2.O.sub.2" gas that contains O2 gas, (see paragraph [0056]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Kweon et al in view of Molla et al by having the steps of: injecting a ruthenium source, O.sub.2-containing gas, and H.sub.2containing gas into the chamber containing the semiconductor substrate; purging the chamber following the injection of the ruthenium source, the injection of the O.sub.2-containing gas, and

Art Unit: 2822

the injection of the H.sub.2-containing gas, and wherein the O.sub.2-containing gas comprises an O.sub.2 gas as taught by Aaltonen et al in order to control the surface reactions of the precursor chemicals, or avoid gas phase reactions (see paragraph [0034] in Aaltonen et al).

As to claim 7, Kweon et al discloses in figures 2E-2F a method wherein at least one of the O.sub.2-containing gas ("O.sub.2") is supplied in a plasma phase (see paragraph [0020]).

As to claim 8, Kweon et al in view of Molla et al does not disclose the steps of: injecting the ruthenium source, injecting the O.sub.2-containing gas, and injecting the H.sub.2-containing gas into the chamber is performed at least twice until the ruthenium seed layer is grown to a desired thickness.

Aaltonen et al discloses the steps of: injecting the ruthenium source (see paragraphs [0016]-[0018])), injecting the O.sub.2-containing gas ("H.sub.2.O.sub.2" gas that contains O2 gas, see [0056]), and injecting the H.sub.2-containing gas ("H.sub.2.O.sub.2" gas that contains H2 gas, see [0056]) into the chamber is performed at least twice until the ruthenium seed layer is grown to a desired thickness (see [0034], [0020], & [0041]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Kweon et al in view of Molla et al by injecting the gases into the chamber is performed at least twice ("repeating") until the ruthenium seed layer is grown to a desired thickness as taught by Aaltonen et al for obtaining a desired thickness for the depositing thin film (ruthenium thin film) (see paragraphs [0034], [0020], & [0041] in Aaltonen et al).

Art Unit: 2822

4. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kweon et al (U.S. 2002/0001860) in view of Molla et al (U.S. 2004/0175845) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Pakr (U.S. 6,656,784).

As to claim 13, Kweon et al in view of Molla et al does not disclose the main ruthenium layer is formed using chemical vapor deposition.

Pakr discloses in figure 3C a method wherein the main ruthenium layer (42) is formed using chemical vapor deposition (see col. 5, lines 15-20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Kweon et al in view of Molla et al by use chemical vapor deposition for forming the main ruthenium layer as taught by Pakr for producing high-quality depositing layer.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh Y. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-2110. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9-6:30pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zandra Smith, can be reached on 571-272-2429. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 10/801,208

Art Unit: 2822

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TYT

Supervisory Patent Examiner

15 March 200